GETTING TO THE SOURCE

A “Truly International” Archive for the Women’s Movement (IAV, now IIAV):

From its Foundation in Amsterdam in 1935 to the Return of its Looted Archives in 2003

Francisca de Haan

It is a great pity that so many documents about the difficult period in the beginning of the struggle for the vote and rights for women, have been destroyed. Therefore we hope to convince the women of the world that here in Holland we have a safe place and that everything: books, letters, pamphlets a.s.o. can be send to us to build up a library, where the women interested in the women’s movement will have the possibility to study this movement in past and present.—Letter from the IAV Librarian, E. Ferf, to Phyllis Lovell, 9 October 1936

The Beginnings of the International Archives for the Women’s Movement (IAV)

The International Archives for the Women’s Movement (abbreviated as IAV after its Dutch name), founded in 1935 in Amsterdam, was very much a part of the international women’s world that Bonnie Anderson, Mineke Bosch, Renate Howe, Margaret McFadden, Karen Offen, Leila Rupp, and others have described. Co-founder and first president of the IAV was Rosa Manus, a Jewish woman who also was a long-time vice-president of the International Alliance of Women (IAW) and affiliate of other international organizations. This article, based largely on a study of the pre-1940 archives of the IAV, is the first to use those pre-war archives that were stolen by the Nazis and subsequently taken by the Red Army, only to be returned to Amsterdam in May 2003. The story of their long-negotiated and dramatic return to Amsterdam provides a fitting conclusion to this article.

Individual Dutch women were involved in the international women’s movement from early days, but the history of that involvement is outside the scope of this article. In 1899, the Dutch National Council of Women, formed in 1898, joined the International Council of Women (ICW), founded in 1888 as the first of the major international women’s organizations. The
second big international women’s organization, the International Woman Suffrage Alliance (IWSA), was formed in Berlin in 1904. Six Dutch women, among them Aletta Jacobs and Johanna W. A. Naber, participated in the 1904 founding meeting. Moreover, Naber became Second Assistant Secretary of the first IWSA board. She resigned after a year because of “the pressure of her literary duties” (and was succeeded by Dutch activist Martina Kramers), but she was to become one of the three founders of the IAV in 1935.

Besides an international orientation, national women’s movements in the nineteenth and early twentieth century generally shared another characteristic—a strong interest in (re)constructing women’s history. As Karen Offen has put it, “earlier generations of European feminists understood well that ‘remembrance of things past’ is important for plotting the future.” In the Netherlands, Naber was the main figure in this respect; the “literary duties” that impeded her IWSA activities consisted of historical and journalistic work. Naber was born in 1859, the third child in an intellectual, financially prosperous, Protestant family. To her deep disappointment, her father, a university professor of philology and classical languages, did not allow her to study history at a university. Nonetheless, Naber became a recognized and successful historian. After the National Exhibition of Women’s Labor in 1898—the apex of the nineteenth-century Dutch women’s movement—she began to write histories of women, and over time became the specialist of the history of the Dutch women’s movement. Among her successful books were *Na tien jaren, 1898–1908* (Ten Years After, 1898–1908), which commemorated the National Exhibition of Women’s Labor of 1898, and *Wegbereidsters* (Pioneers), which described the lives of four British women, Elizabeth Fry, Florence Nightingale, Josephine Butler, and Priscilla Bright MacLaren, and their impact on Dutch women. Naber’s historical publications were generally based on primary research and she believed strongly in the importance of archival research. In addition to her own voluminous oeuvre, Naber contributed in various ways to the development of a historical infrastructure for the Dutch women’s movement. Within the Dutch National Council of Women (NCW), in which she was active from 1900, she initiated an ongoing bibliography of national and international publications about feminism, she kept an archive of her own work in the NCW, and she persuaded the organization to hire someone to keep its archive. By 1921, Naber made it clear that she wanted the NCW bibliography and archive to become part of or contribute to “a center of information and study.”

Rosa Manus, the second of the three founders and the first president of the International Archives for the Women’s Movement, was born into an affluent liberal Jewish family in Amsterdam in 1881. Whereas Naber
had been forbidden to go to university, Rosa Manus was not even allowed to attend high school but instead was educated at home by French and English governesses, followed by a year at an international boarding school in Switzerland. Back in Amsterdam, she wanted to establish her own fashion shop, but her father forbade her to do so, perceiving paid work as a disgrace for a woman of their social class. Her only option was philanthropy. In 1908, the fourth congress of the IWSA took place in Amsterdam. The Dutch organizers, among them, Aletta Jacobs and Johanna Naber, invited some young women to participate in the congress. Manus was one of them, and it became a turning point in her life. From then on, she devoted herself to the women’s movement, in particular to the goals of suffrage and peace. Following the 1908 congress, Naber became secretary of the central board of the Dutch Association for Women’s Suffrage, with Manus as her assistant—the beginning of a life-long friendship between the two. In 1909, the IWSA held a congress in London in order to support the British women in their fierce struggle for suffrage with the British government. IWSA president Carrie Chapman Catt invited Manus to help her with the huge task of organizing this congress. As Catt’s assistant, Manus participated not only in all the congress meetings, but also in the receptions and parties organized by the London aristocracy and the British feminists.

Manus played a key role in the IWSA (from 1926 to 1945, the organization was called the International Alliance of Women for Suffrage and Equal Citizenship [IAWSEC]); since 1946, the International Alliance of Women (IAW). In 1910, Manus was appointed “special organizer” of the IWSA and in that capacity played an important role in preparing its congresses for the next thirty years. She also accompanied Carrie Chapman Catt, with whom she developed very close ties, on a nine-month international propaganda tour for women’s suffrage through Latin America in 1922–1923, and in preparation of the 1935 congress in Istanbul traveled with Catt’s successor, Margery Corbett Ashby, through Egypt, Palestine, and Syria. She was vice-president of the IAW from 1926 until 1940 as well as a crucial member of its Committee for Peace.

Manus’s active involvement with peace work began in 1915 when, together with Aletta Jacobs and a few other women, she organized the famous International Congress of Women in The Hague. After the Congress, Manus became assistant secretary of the International Committee of Women for Permanent Peace, which in 1919 became the Women’s International League for Peace and Freedom (WILPF). From 1926, Manus was secretary of the IAW Committee for Peace and the League of Nations (which Ruth Morgan chaired until her sudden death in 1934). She also became the vice-president of the Women’s Disarmament (later
Peace and Disarmament) Committee of International Organizations, founded in September 1931, and known as the Dingman committee—a joint committee of thirteen women’s organizations to support the 1932 Disarmament Conference of the League of Nations. It was thanks to Manus’s exceptional organizational talents and stamina that on the opening date of the conference, 6 February 1932, a petition signed by 9,414,517 people from fifty-nine countries—the biggest international petition there has ever been; nothing approaching it in scale was ever tried, before or since—was presented to the leaders of the Disarmament Conference. In 1936, the prominent British politician and leader of the British disarmament movement Lord Robert Cecil (later Viscount of Chelwood) and the French politician Pierre Cot were invited to preside over a big international peace conference to be held in Geneva. Lord Cecil accepted on the condition that Manus would be “Organizing Secretary.” After many difficulties, this conference took place in Brussels as Congress of the Rassemblement Universel pour la Paix/Congress of the International Peace Campaign.

Rosa Manus had particularly close ties with Aletta Jacobs, who was also Jewish and the most prominent Dutch feminist, both nationally and internationally. After her death on 10 August 1929, Jacobs bequeathed her books and papers to Manus. Not long after, on 20 May 1930, Manus wrote to Clara Hyde, Catt’s secretary: “Dr. Jacobs’ books have come to me now, and I am organising a real feministic library which I hope, will prove useful to the feminists.” While historians Bosch and Everard have concluded from this that “we can now be sure that we own the IAV to her [Rosa Manus],” I find this conclusion too strong. It took a third person to become involved for the IAV really to become established. This third person was the economist Willemijn (Lil) Posthumus-van der Goot, who in 1930 became the first Dutch woman Ph.D. in economics. In 1931 she married N.W. Posthumus, a well-known professor in economic history. In the first half of the 1930s, Posthumus-van der Goot became a conscious and active feminist. While the Dutch women’s movement at the time had lost much of its earlier vigor, the Dutch Association for Women’s Interests and Equal Citizenship (DAWIEC), with Rosa Manus as vice-president, was the most active feminist organization at the time. A 1934 DAWIEC study conference about the government’s reactionary policies regarding women’s paid employment made a number of younger feminists, many of them academics and including Posthumus-van der Goot, aware of how little they actually knew of the history of women’s struggle for the right to paid employment. Their wish for “scientific knowledge” of women and the women’s movement in past and present provided the final impetus—after Naber’s wish for a “center of information and study” and Manus’s...
intention to organize “a real feministic library”—for the establishment of the International Archives for the Women’s Movement.\textsuperscript{36}

Posthumus-van der Goot brought not only youthful enthusiasm to the project, but also a connection that would yield the necessary material support for the new archives. In 1914, her husband had established the Netherlands Economic History Archive. He took a broad view of economic history and collected a large number of trade union archives. When in the 1930s the rise of fascist, Stalinist, and national-socialist regimes in large parts of Europe posed a threat to the “documentary legacy” of the opponents of these regimes—socialists, communists, and anarchists, in particular—Posthumus decided to establish a separate institute for social history. He was supported in this endeavor by the co-founder and director of the Central Workers Insurance and Deposit Bank, which had grown out of the Dutch Social Democratic Party, and the Municipality of Amsterdam, which “lent [to the International Institute of Social History] a beautiful building”\textsuperscript{37} on Keizersgracht 264. The International Institute of Social History (IISH) was established on 25 November 1935, while its official opening took place on 11 March 1937.\textsuperscript{38}

After “consultations” by Posthumus-van der Goot with “the founders of the IISH,” Naber, Manus, and Posthumus-van der Goot—each representing a different generation in Dutch feminism and thereby contributing to the strength of the IAV—established the International Archives for the Women’s Movement on 3 December 1935.\textsuperscript{39} Manus became president, Posthumus-van der Goot, secretary of the board of the IAV, and the new archive was housed in two spacious rooms in the IISH.\textsuperscript{40} In addition, the IISH and IAV signed a “Treaty of Friendship,” stipulating among other things that the IISH would support the IAV with a yearly sum of money.\textsuperscript{41} The crucial importance of this concrete and material support from the IISH becomes all the more apparent when we compare the success of the IAV with the failure of a quite similar contemporary American plan to establish a World Center for Women’s Archives. After five years of working on the project, first conceived of by the Hungarian feminist, pacifist, and refugee Rosika Schwimmer, and despite the support of influential women and women’s organizations, the plan for the World Center for Women’s Archives was given up in 1940 due to lack of money (which, in turn, seems to have been the consequence of disagreement among the organizers).\textsuperscript{42}

**Goals and Character of the IAV**

The official goal of the International Archives for the Women’s Movement was “to further the knowledge and scientific study of the women’s movement in the broadest sense of the word.”\textsuperscript{41} At the official opening of
the IAV on 19 December 1936, Posthumus “spoke some friendly words to the sister-institute and co-occupant of Keizersgracht 264, emphasizing the importance of not only collecting material, but—by means of scholarly publications—of stimulating and contributing to a better understanding of the women’s movement.” The importance of “scientific study” of women and the women’s movement was emphasized again and again, not only at the opening of the IAV, but also, for instance, in a speech by historian Jane de Iongh, board member of both the IISH and the IAV, at the first annual meeting of the IAV Advisory Board. De Iongh made a passionate plea for the “objective” study of women and their history, something she maintained men throughout the ages had been unable to do, blinded as they were by prejudices against women.

One of the first concrete results of the scientific ambitions of the IAV founders was the 1937 publication of the multi-lingual Yearbook International Archives for the Women’s Movement. It contained eight articles, five in Dutch (among them a “First Attempt at a Chronological Overview of the History of the Women’s Movement in the Netherlands” by Naber), one in German (“Die ökonomische Lage der erwerbstätigen Frau des österreichischen Mittelstandes” by Dr. Felicitas Buresch), one in English (“Some Autobiographical Notes,” by E. Sylvia Pankhurst, with an introduction by Dr. Jane de Iongh), and one in French (“Quelques Aperçus sur le Féminisme et la Province Française [1830–1850],” by Marie-Louise Puech, M.A.). A second yearbook, published in 1938, contained six articles, mainly about suffrage, and only one of them in Dutch.

It was not by chance that the IAV yearbooks were so internationally oriented. Besides being “scientific,” a focus on the “international” was the second key component of the IAV identity and that which distinguished this institute from other women’s or feminist libraries. A few weeks after the IAV was founded, its board decided that in addition to a (Dutch) Advisory Board the Archives also should have an “International Advisory Council.” Established in 1937, it consisted of Margery I. Corbett Ashby (IWSA/IAW president 1923–1946), C. Brunschvicg (former Under-Secretary of State for Education in France, active in the ICW and French delegate to the IAW), Carrie Chapman Catt (IWSA founder and first president, 1904–1923), Dorothy A. Heneker (Secretary of the Peace and Disarmament Committee of the Women’s International Organizations), Bertha Lutz (IAW board member), la Baronne M. Pol Boël (ICW President 1936–1947), and Elsie M. Zimmern (General Secretary of the ICW from 1925). The support of these prominent women for the IAV clearly was a result of Rosa Manus’s wide, and by this point decades old, international network in the women’s movement. The contacts between the IAV and IAW (of which Manus was president and vice-president, respectively) went fur-
ther than the strong IAW representation on the IAV Advisory Board. *The International Women’s News* (the IAW journal) regularly reported about the IAV, and in May 1936 the IAW board held a three-day meeting in the IAV. Posthumus-van der Goot, head of the Dutch delegation to the 1939 IAW Congress in Copenhagen, also represented the IAV there. The IAV also was included in the list of “Fraternal Delegates” in the 1939 *Congress Report*.

That the IAV was strongly embedded in the international women’s movement is also clear from visits by women such as Marie Ginsberg, Librarian at the League of Nations, in 1937, members of the IAW board together with members of the Peace and Disarmament Committee of the Women’s International Organizations in 1938, and Kunwar Rani Lady Maharaj Singh from British India, Vice-President of the IAW, in 1939. On such occasions, a tea was organized in the IAV, at which the visitors gave a talk and met with Dutch women.

The IAV also cooperated with feminist libraries abroad, in particular by exchanging duplicates with the Bibliothèque Marguerite Durand in Paris and the Women’s Service Library (for a long time known as The Fawcett Library, now The Women’s Library) in London. With respect to acquiring material, the now-retrieved IAV archive shows that all Dutch and international contacts (individuals and associations) were encouraged both to send in material and to make known the IAV and its goals, as in the following request from Rosa Manus to Brazilian feminist Bertha Lutz: “We should be most grateful to you Bertha, if you would write a little article in your feministic paper and perhaps in your press, in order to encourage your women [to send in material] and make the I.A.V. known.” Hundreds of letters were sent to women’s organizations around the world, asking them to send periodicals and other material, or informing them if some issue(s) had not arrived. Here again, Manus’s numerous contacts were crucial.

A memorandum issued in English in 1937 and signed by all IAV board members clearly demonstrates how central the international dimension was for the institute:

The joint action carried on by many countries, has made Feminism, right from its inception, an international Movement. . . . [By] collecting, arranging and making accessible to the public all books, documents and other data regarding the Women’s Movement in the fullest sense of the word . . . the I.A.V. not merely wishes to preserve from destruction much important material which threatens to become lost, but also aims at giving the Women’s movement the scientific basis to which, as an important cultural movement, it is entitled. . . . the I.A.V. has successfully approached the Women’s
organizations of every country in the world. At . . . present . . ., the I.A.V. is receiving gratuitously a large number of periodicals and publications, even from such distant countries as Egypt, British India and Persia. The request for books, brochures and archives has also been generously responded to: shortly the I.A.V. hopes to receive in Amsterdam a.o. the Karin Jeppe archives, left in Armenia by her. . . . The I.A.V. which is the first and only institution of its kind in the world, has a very high conception of its task of international coordination, the more so as they are convinced that a better and centralized organisation of the Women’s Movement throughout the world is not only of scientific importance but is also apt greatly to strengthen international friendship and thereby add to the maintenance of peaceful relations.57

The major international women’s organizations, as historian Leila Rupp has made clear, from the 1910s, but especially in the 1920s and 1930s, tried to overcome their earlier narrow membership and orientation—that is, their European and “Neo-European” domination—and to become more “truly international.”58 Interestingly, but hardly surprising considering the close connections with in particular the International Alliance of Women, this was very much the spirit in which the IAV worked; representatives of the IAV regularly used almost the same words—“wir versuchen möglichst ‘international’ zu sein,” “[our] sincere wish to be as international as possible.”59 While the wish to be “as international as possible” surely was genuine, it seems that in the memorandum quoted above the board got carried away (the IAV correspondence, extensive as it is, certainly does not buttress the claim that the IAV had “successfully approached the Women’s organizations of every country in the world”) and, more generally, was not aware of the limitations to its international “outreach.” The IAV correspondence was wide-ranging, yet limited to Europe (the United Kingdom, Germany, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Sweden, Estonia, Switzerland, Austria, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Yugoslavia, Bulgaria, Portugal), the Dutch East-Indies and Surinam, the United States, Australia, New Zealand, Canada, Egypt, South Africa (the white Suid-Afrikaanse Vrouwe Federatie), Palestine (individual Jewish women and various Jewish women’s organizations, but no Muslim or Arab women), Japan, Brazil, the Philippines, India, and Ceylon; in short, fairly consistent with those countries and regions represented in the international women’s movement, but hardly the entire world.60 Moreover, the international IAV correspondence was conducted in English, French, and German (the same languages as used in the IAV Yearbooks) but not in other world languages such as Spanish, Arabic, or Chinese. The global relations of dominance of the late colonial period that
shaped the international women’s movement also shaped the IAV, which was a part of that world. The fact alone that the IAV was established in Amsterdam and not in Calcutta, Nairobi, or São Paulo underscores this point.

The Events in World War II

By 1940, the IAV had collected a number of archives from Dutch women’s organizations and well-known women, including such valuable pieces as the manuscript of the 1897 novel Hilda van Suylenburg (which had caused a great stir in the whole country, was reprinted several times, and “converted” many young women to feminism), about 4500 books and brochures, including first editions of Mary Astell’s A Serious Proposal to the Ladies (1694), Mary Wollstonecraft’s A Vindication of the Rights of Woman (1792) and of “Jane Austen,” (of which no other information was supplied) and a unique collection of some 150 contemporary journals from about twenty countries, in addition to precious older ones, such as the complete Tribunes des femmes (1833). The institute had become a true “treasury of feminist valuables” used by feminists in the Netherlands and abroad (the librarian replied to written inquiries, and books and even journals were sent on request, although the latter no longer after 1939). World War II, however, had devastating consequences for the flourishing IAV.

The German troops invaded the Netherlands on 10 May 1940, and five days later the Dutch government surrendered. In June, German officials (dressed as civilians) inspected the IAV twice. By the end of that month, they closed and sealed the institute. It is hard to imagine what a blow it must have been, especially for Manus, when the IAV—“her love and her pride”—turned out not to be “a safe place” after all. On 2 February 1940, she wrote to Carrie Chapman Catt: “The European situation is certainly very grave and one cannot think who will make an end to it. I fear this desaster [sic] may last a few further years. I have started to clear out many things, f.i. a great part of my documents and letters which I have gathered since 30 years have gone to our International Archives; much of my library had already gone there too. It will prove more useful in the future to have it all there and as everything was already filed, it was quite easy to remove things.” “Useful” it was, but then for the Sicherheitspolizei (German Security Police) which, on behalf of the Reich Headquarters for Security Services (RSHA) VII, on 12 July 1940, took away the entire IAV contents, packed in about forty boxes: archives, books, brochures, journals, photographs, memorabilia, even the furniture and curtains. Their only “oversight” was missing the safe in the women’s bank in which money and some valuables were kept, including part of Aletta Jacobs’s papers.
Different answers have been given to the question of why the IAV was closed and all of its materials removed to Germany. When the Sicherheitspolizei sealed the IAV, they also left a call for Rosa Manus to come to their headquarters to be questioned. Because Manus was very afraid, IAV treasurer Charlotte Matthes went instead, and tried to make the Germans reconsider their decision about the Archives. The answer she got was that “the women in Berlin want to have the IAV.”71 For several reasons, however, it seems unlikely that this would explain what happened. To begin with, it is unlikely that the Sicherheitspolizei would tell Matthes the real reason for their action. Their answer sounds much more like a convenient excuse to silence her. Second, and most importantly, the IAV materials were not taken to “the women in Berlin,” but to the Berlin Headquarters of the RSHA VII, Eisenacherstr. 12, as has been established in 1961.72 The third point is more ambiguous, but even so does not seem to support the theory that the Sicherheitspolizei would have closed and then looted the IAV to fulfill a wish of “the women in Berlin.” In 1947, when the RSHA VII’s involvement was still unknown and “the women in Berlin want to have the IAV” looked like the best lead, Posthumus-van der Goot made inquiries along this line. In response, Gerda Walther73 wrote to her from München: “The German women probably didn’t know anything about it and therefore could not wish to have the IAV, except perhaps for the leader of the Nazi women’s organization, Frau Scholz-Klinck.”74 In December 1948, while interned in the Tübingen prison, the unrepenting Gertrud Scholtz-Klink 75 (Gertrud Heissmeyer, née Treusch, alias Scholz-Klinck), was interrogated about the IAV. Surprisingly, she did confess having had IAV books in her office (“but . . . not more than five hundred”). Despite this concrete answer, however, she mentioned no further involvement. What is significant, moreover, is that she had received the IAV books only in the course of 1942, that is, two years or more after their confiscation. (They remained in her office in Berlin “until the end of 1944,” when her office library was evacuated for reasons of safety.)76

The second reason that has been given for the closing of the IAV was that it was an international institute, and as such it had to be closed down. In a speech on occasion of the reopening of the IAV in 1947, Posthumus-van der Goot mentioned this as the reason for what had happened in June 1940 (combined with the practical fact that following the word “International,” the IAV was the first organization in the phonebook).77

In my view, the closing of the IAV was due to its international character and the circumstance that Rosa Manus was its founder and president. Manus embodied not one but various categories that the Nazis viewed as their archenemies and were bent on destroying. She was not only Jewish, but she was also a feminist internationalist, a well-known pacifist, had
been the organizing force behind the more than nine million signatures presented to the Disarmament Conference, and had been the organizer of the high profile international Congress of the Rassemblement Universel pour la Paix / Congress of the International Peace Campaign held in September 1936 (that is, when she already was IAV president), which many on the Right had labeled and besmirched as a “communist” affair. Indeed, charges had gone so far that the Brussels chief of police summoned Manus to the police station to be questioned and have her fingerprints taken. Not only was Manus angry and hurt, but her involvement with the Rassemblement Universel pour la Paix, with its left-wing/communist reputation, also made her appear even more dangerous as far as the Nazis were concerned.

These international, peace, and “communist” activities made the IAV a target for the RSHA VII, whose task it was to “evaluate ‘enemy’ publications and to administer material that had been confiscated from political parties and organizations, Jewish communities and organizations, Masons and individual politicians, in particular [but not exclusively] in France.” According to Patricia Kennedy Grimsted, “the RSHA VII had a higher priority for archival loot (and the necessary accompanying library materials) deemed essential for intelligence and Reinigung [social purging] operations in locating and eliminating all elements adverse to the Nazi regime. . . . the RSHA apparently had priority for archival materials needed for operational functions. . . . Initially working out of its Berlin Headquarters (Berlin-Schöneberg, Eisenacherstr. 12), the Seventh Division . . . had separate units for collecting, evaluating, and analyzing materials relating to the designated prime enemies of the Reich including the Jews, Masons, and other religious groups, as well as Marxists, anarchists, and other left-wing socialists in various European countries.” All of this is consistent with what happened to the IAV and Rosa Manus. And to return briefly to the books in Scholtz-Klink’s office, it is possible that she received a part of the IAV library after the RSHA had done its work of “evaluating” and “analyzing” the “‘enemy’ material.”

Manus was arrested by the Gestapo on 16 or 17 August 1941, just a few days before her sixtieth birthday, something she had been expecting to happen. Although Manus did not appear before the Sicherheitspolizei when summoned in June 1940, she was interrogated later by the Germans because of her presidency of the IAV, but released because of her “anständiges Benehmen” (her decent behavior). In spring 1941 she was questioned twice by the Gestapo. In addition, she had long been aware of the persecution of Jews in Germany. Not only did she have many Jewish family members and friends there (whom she tried to help), but she was also a co-founder in 1933 of the Neutral Women’s Committee for Refugees, which assisted those who had fled Germany “for political or pacifist
reasons or because of racial persecution.”86 Being under no illusion what-
soever regarding the Nazis’ intentions, she nonetheless declined Catt’s
repeated invitations to move to the United States because she did not want
to leave her family.87

After her arrest, Manus was imprisoned in Scheveningen, where she
stayed for some weeks. From there, she was transported for seven weeks
through Germany, from one prison to another, to end up in Ravensbrück,
a concentration camp for women, where she was placed in the section for
Jewish women.88 Although earlier reports mentioned that she died in
Auschwitz in 1942, it is now believed that she died in Ravensbrück in
1943.89 In 1948, Manus was commemorated during an IAW Congress in
Amsterdam. The stone placed on the family grave has as its final line:
“Few have surpassed Rosa Manus in dedication and altruism.”90

A Partial Recovery of the IAV after 1945

Besides Rosa Manus, IAV co-founder Johanna Naber also died dur-
ing the war, but in a hospital in her residence The Hague and of natural
causes.91 Of the three IAV founders, then, only Lil Posthumus-van der
Goot survived the war. She became president of the institute and as such
headed the reopening in 1947 as well as attempts to locate and reclaim the
stolen property. Despite many efforts, there were only two minor successes.
In 1947, “a few boxes” were returned;92 in 1966, Ivo Krikava, a librarian in
Hradec Králové in Czechoslovakia unexpectedly sent back four books with
the IAV stamp.93

The whereabouts of most of the looted materials, therefore, remained
unknown. The IAV, however, had lost more than its treasures; its original
“spirit” and direction were no longer there either. Rosa Manus’s death
marked the end of the international orientation of the institute, at least for
a number of decades. She had been the “trait d’union with the women’s
world outside of the Netherlands,”94 and this personal contact was now
largely gone. Although Posthumus-van der Goot had been involved in
the IAW in the late 1930s, she did not pursue the archives’ prewar interna-
tional scope. Moreover, after 1948, when Posthumus-van der Goot edited
a book published by the IAV about women and the women’s movement
in the Netherlands since 1800,95 the IAV as such did not rank high among
her priorities. In the 1950s and 1960s, although some minor books were
published, the IAV led a quiet and somewhat “introverted” existence.
According to Jolande Withuis, the IAV even became an almost “private
enterprise” of Posthumus-van der Goot and a small circle around her, in-
cluding her very close friend Mies Boissevain; they emphasized “women’s
psychology” and women’s roles in the modern world.96
Over the course of the 1960s, the IAV moved across Amsterdam; in 1969 it again moved in with the IISH. At the Herengracht, the institute experienced a period of enormous growth, due to the second wave of feminism. The IAV collected the movement’s books, journals, action programs, minutes, official documents, students’ theses, pamphlets, posters, pictures, and other memorabilia related to contemporary activism. Increasing subsidies from the Dutch government enabled the IAV to buy more books and to hire more staff and the number of visitors exploded. Within a few years time, the institute almost burst out of its rooms. In 1981, the IAV moved to Keizersgracht 10, which also housed the feminist journal *Lover* and the Information and Documentation Center for the Women’s Movement (IDC). In 1988, the IAV, IDC, and *Lover* merged to become the International Information Centre and Archives for the Women’s Movement (abbreviated as IIAV).97 The building at the Keizersgracht soon became too small, and in 1994 the IIAV moved to its present beautiful and spacious housing in the former Majella Church, Obiplein 4, in Amsterdam.

The Return of the Looted Archives: A Miracle from Moscow

In the 1980s, the archival department of the IAV professionalized, resulting among other things in the publication of an *Overzicht van de archieven in het Internationaal Informatiecentrum en Archief voor de Vrouwenbeweging* (A Guide to the Archives of the IIAV).98 After more than fifty years, nobody expected to hear anything again about the looted materials. Then, in January 1992, the most unexpected thing happened: Marc Jansen, a Dutch historian specializing in Russian history, reported in a major Dutch newspaper that the Russian authorities were willing to return more than thirty archival collections which had disappeared from the Netherlands during World War II, including materials of the former IAV. The Red Army had taken the archival materials from Germany and Nazi-occupied territory, and since 1945 or 1946 these materials had been kept in the *Osobyi* (Special) *Archive* in Moscow.99 After this most exciting news, however, the patience of many archivists and historians was to be tested. Although a treaty about the return of archival material was signed in 1992, the Russian Parliament was not willing to ratify it, and it slowly became clear that the return of the looted archives was far more political and complicated than originally perceived. Subsequent plans for a visit of IAV representatives to the *Osobyi Archive* were cancelled because of the “extremely delicate character of the [official but secret] negotiations.”100 Nonetheless, and using personal contacts of her own, Heleen Massee of the IAV picture department in 1993 went to the *Osobyi Archive* in Moscow and was allowed to make notes about the contents of six boxes. A year
later, historians Mineke Bosch and Myriam Everard were allowed to spend four days in the Osobyi Archive, during which they made a preliminary inventory of the IAV materials, consisting of 203 files with more than 28,000 pages, including many hundreds of pictures dating back as far as the 1890s. They were able to conclude that the IAV materials, of which the archives of the pre-war IAV and of Rosa Manus were the biggest and most interesting, would substantially enrich our knowledge of the first feminist wave in the Netherlands and of Manus’s many, and until then only partly known, international activities. They also noticed that obvious treasures as a “box Jacobs” and the manuscript of the novel Hilda van Suylenburg were not there.101

Despite continuing visits from ambassadors and other high-ranking officials, the Dutch archives remained where they were until the announcement of Queen Beatrix’s visit to Russia in 2001 finally set the bureaucratic wheels in motion. In January 2002, twenty-two Dutch archives were returned, but nine archives, including that of the IAV, were still kept in Moscow, not having gone through the necessary procedures. It was March 2003 when these last archives were returned to the Netherlands, and May of that year when the IAV boxes arrived in Amsterdam.102

The IIAV and Its Collections in 2004—Conclusions

The return of the IAV archives, then, has made it possible to write this history of the institute. On the basis of these materials and in comparison to what we knew before, three things emerge. The first is that the pre-1940 IAV was a very dynamic institute with a strong international identity and embedded in the international women’s movement, especially the International Alliance of Women. Although the IAV-Yearbooks, of which copies were available, always made it possible to get an idea of the importance of “the international” for the IAV, this conclusion was not drawn before. The returned IAV archive, in particular the rich correspondence—including the hundreds of letters and such pieces as the memorandum issued by the IAV board that I quoted above—has made the international dimension abundantly clear. The second insight made possible by the returned archives is Rosa Manus’s central and shaping role in the pre-war IAV. Moreover, and finally, by making clear the scope and contents of Manus’s international and pacifist activities, the returned archives also allow us to understand better why the IAV was closed and looted. This, as I have argued, was both because of the international character of the institute and because of all that its president represented.

In 1940, the IAV was still in an early and formative stage of its existence. I have little doubt that Manus, had she lived longer, would have
suggested housing the archives of the major International Women’s Organiza-
tions—the ICW, IAW, and WILPF, the “superinternational coalitions”
such as the Peace and Disarmament Committee103—in the IAV. At the
thought of this, anyone who has worked on the history of the interna-
tional women’s movement and who knows how dispersed its archives
are (if remaining at all) can only sigh . . .

Besides the return of the archival materials, what should be empha-
sized as well is that the Osobyi Archive did not hold some of the most valu-
able pieces—none of the 4,500 books and only a few of the 150 periodicals
of the pre-war IAV. Thus, no matter how important the return of the looted
archival material is (including the many pictures), the main body of the
original collections, and that which at the time was considered as the main
treasure,104 is still missing and is probably lost forever.

Some readers may have wondered—or may have wondered initially—
why “archives” would be “looted”; after all, they are not precious in the
same way as paintings, pieces of music, or other works of art. To both the
Nazis and the Russians, however, their importance was clear enough. What
they realized was the extent to which the women’s movement was part of
the wider political context of its time—and a (potentially) powerful player
in that field. The Nazis did not confiscate the materials out of a primitive
“fear of women,” but because they saw the peace and other international
activities of women such as Manus and the organizations she represented
as dangerous and powerful. The content of the IAV, therefore, was not
only relevant to women, but its political implications were realized by at
least two governments.

The IIAV continues to grow. At the beginning of 2004, the IIAV collec-
tions consisted of 85,000 volumes of books, brochures, reports, and pa-
pers; over 6,000 titles of women’s journals, both national and international
(about 500 meters); 500 archives of women and women’s organizations
(almost 1,000 meters); 60 meters of dossiers containing pamphlets, press
releases, announcements, and clippings from Dutch newspapers; bio-
ographical dossiers with clippings on 7,200 women; 20,000 photographs;
and 6,500 posters.105 The IIAV has a strong international orientation again,
reflected not only in its fantastic library collection but also in its depart-
ment of International Cooperation, which is responsible for developing
and implementing tools and services with its partners worldwide.106 How-
ever, the IIAV is also increasingly acquiring archives that are important
for the history of women’s studies and women’s history internationally,
such as the archives of the anthropologist Hanna Papanek and of organi-
izations such as the International Federation of University Women and the
International Federation for Research in Women’s History. Clearly, this is
how its founders had envisioned the role of the I(I)AV.
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